$$Q = [k_1d_1 + k_2, -1 - k_1 + k_1d_3 + k_2d_2]$$

$$R = [l_1d_1 + l_2, -1 - l_1 + l_1d_3 + l_2d_2]$$

where $[k_1 \ k_2]$, $[l_1 \ l_2]$ are arbitrary polynomial matrices from $R_{12}\{d_1, d_2, d_3\}$ $d_{3}].$

CONCLUSIONS

Sufficient conditions for the existence of a solution to the deadbeat servoproblem for multivariable n-D linear systems are given. An algorithm based on elementary column and row operations for finding the matrices P, Q, and R of the linear n-D controller is presented and illustrated by a simple 3-D example.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. A. Berstein and D. C. Struppa, "On explicit solutions to the Bezout equation." Syst. Contr. Lett., pp. 33-39, Feb. 1984
- N. K. Bose, Applied Multidimensional Systems Theory. New York: Van [2] Nostrand Reinhold, 1982.
- Multidimensional Systems: Theory and Applications. New York: [3] IEEE Press, 1979.
- -, Multidimensional Systems Theory: Progress, Directions and Open [4] Problems. Dordrecht, The Netherlands, Reidel, 1985.
- [5] B. Eichstaedt, "Design of closed-loop systems with dead-beat performance," Syst. Sci., vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 75-85, 1982.
- "Multivariable closed-loop deadbeat control: A polynomial-matrix ap-[6] proach," Automatica, vol. 18, no. 5, pp. 589-593, 1982.
- [7] R. Eising, "Realization and stabilization of 2-D systems," IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr., vol. AC-23. no. 5, pp. 793-799, 1978. [8] M. Fornasini and G. Marchesini. "State space realization theory of two-
- dimensional filters," IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr., vol. AC-21, no. 4. pp. 484-492, 1976.
- J. P. Guiver and N. K. Bose, "Polynomial matrix primitive factorization over arbitrary coefficient field and related results," *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst.*, vol. [9] 29, pp. 649-657, Oct. 1982.
- [10] T. Kaczorek, "Dead-beat servo problem for 2-dimensional linear systems," Int. J. Contr., vol. 37. pp. 1349-1353, 1983.
- "Dead-beat servo problem for 2-D multivariable systems," in Proc. 3rd [11] Int. Conf. Syst. Eng., OH, 1984, pp. 524-529.
- T. Kaczorek, "Solving of 2-D polynomial matrix equations," in Proc. 3rd Int. [12] Conf. Functional Differential Syst. and Related Topics, Zielona. Góra, 1983.
- V. Kučera. Discrete Linear Control. The Polynomial Equation Approach. [13] New York: Wiley, 1979.
- [14] "A dead-beat servo problem," Int. J. Contr., vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 107-114, 1980.
- V. Kučera and M. Šebek, "On deadbeat controllers," IEEE Trans. Automat. [15] Contr., vol. AC-29, no. 8, pp. 719-722, 1984.
- S. Kung, B. Lévý, M. Móŕf, ánd T. Kailath. "New results in 2-D systems theory, [16] Part II: 2-D state-space models-realization and the notions of controllability and minimality, "Proc. IEEE, vol. 65, no. 4, pp. 861–872, 1977.
 [17] B. Leden, "Multivariable deadbeat control," Automatica, vol. 13, pp. 185–188.
- 1977.
- [18] P. N. Paraskevopoulos, "Characteristic polynomial assignment and determination of the residual polynomial in 2-D systems," *IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr.*, vol. AC-26, no. 2, pp. 541-543.
- [19] P. N. Paraskevopoulos and B. G. Mertsios, "Transfer function factorization of 2-D systems using state feedback," Int. J. Syst. Sci., vol. 12, no. 9, pp. 1135-1147, 1981.
- [20] B. Porter and A. Bradshaw, "Design of dead-beat controllers and full-order observers for linear multivariable discrete-time plants," Int. J. Contr., vol. 22, p. 149-155, 1975.
- B. Roesser, "A discrete state space model for linear image processing," IEEE [21] Trans. Automat. Contr., vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 1-10, 1975.
- [22] M. Šebek, "Multivariable dead-beat servo problem," Kibernetika, vol. 16, no. 5, op. 422-453, 1980.
- H. Seraji, "Deadbeat control of discrete-time systems using output feedback," [23] Int. J. Contr., vol. 21, pp. 213-223, 1975.
- [24] S. C. Tzafestas and T. G. Pimenides, "Exact model-matching control of threedimensional systems using state and output feedback," Int. J. Syst. Sci., vol. 13, no. 11, pp. 1181-1187, 1982. D. Youla and G. Gnavi, "Notes on *n*-dimensional systems theory," *IEEE Trans.*
- [25] D. Circuits Syst., vol. CAS-26, no. 2, pp. 105-111, 1979. D. C. Youla and P. F. Pickel, "The Quillen-Suslin theorem and the structure of n-
- [26] dimensional elementary polynomial matrices," IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst., vol. CAS-31, pp. 513-518, 1984.

Robust Controller Synthesis Using the Maximum Entropy Design Equations

DENNIS S. BERNSTEIN AND SCOTT W. GREELEY

Abstract-This note presents an application of the optimality conditions obtained in [1] for dynamic compensation in the presence of state-, control-, and measurement-dependent noise. By solving these equations, which represent a fundamental generalization of standard steady-state LQG theory, a series of increasingly robust control designs is obtained for the example considered in [2].

I. INTRODUCTION

Perhaps the most significant aspect of LQG theory is the explicit synthesis of dynamic feedback compensators. In practice, however, LQG suffers from serious defects concerning closed-loop robustness with respect to plant deviations. In particular, LQG controllers may possess arbitrarily small stability margin with respect to parameter variations [2].

One approach to correcting this defect is to rederive the optimality conditions for dynamic compensation in the presence of state-, control-, and measurement-dependent noise [1]. Intuitively speaking, the quadratically optimal feedback controller designed in the presence of such multiplicative disturbances is automatically desensitized to actual parameter variations. The optimality conditions now comprise a system of four matrix equations, specifically, two modified Riccati equations and two modified Lyapunov equations, coupled by stochastic effects. This coupling is a graphic reminder of the breakdown of the separation principle in the uncertain plant case. When the uncertainty terms are absent, the equations immediately reduce to the standard pair of separated Riccati equations.

For the special case of full-order compensation in the presence of statedependent noise only, versions of these equations were discovered independently by Hyland [3]-[5] and Mil'stein [6]. A crucial feature of [1], [3]-[5] is the interpretation of the closed-loop stochastic differential equation according to the Fisk-Stratonovich definition of stochastic integration. For modeling flexible mechanical structures, justification of this interpretation as an appropriate model for a priori parameter uncertainty was based upon the maximum entropy principle of Jaynes [1].

A time-varying version of these design equations involving uncorrelated state- and control-dependent noise has been given in [7]. The stochastic interpretation is in the sense of Ito as in [6].

The purpose of the present note is to summarize the maximum entropy equations for full-order dynamic feedback compensation. These equations are then applied to Doyle's example [2] to produce a series of quadratically optimal robust controllers. The full optimal projection/ maximum entropy design equations, which also account for a constraint on controller order [1], [8], are applied to a more realistic design problem in [9].

II. PROBLEM STATEMENT AND MAXIMUM ENTROPY DESIGN EOUATIONS

To state the optimal dynamic-compensation problem, we require the following notation. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^l$, $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $A, A_1, \dots, A_p \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $B, B_1, \dots, B_p \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$, $C, C_1, \dots, C_p \in \mathbb{R}^{l \times n}$, $R_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $R_1 \ge 0, R_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$, $R_2 > 0$. Furthermore, let v_1, \dots, v_p be unit-intensity. zero-mean, and mutually uncorrelated white noise processes and let $w_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $w_2 \in \mathbb{R}^l$ be zero-mean white noise processes with

Manuscript received July 23, 1985; revised October 24, 1985. This work was supported in part by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research under Contract AFOSR F49620-84-C-0015.

The authors are with the Controls Analysis and Synthesis Group, Government Aerospace Systems Division. Harris Corporation, Melbourne, FL 32902. IEEE Log Number 8407268.

0018-9286/86/0400-0362\$01.00 © 1986 IEEE

intensities $V_1 \ge 0$ and $V_2 > 0$, respectively, and cross intensity $V_{12} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times l}$. It is further assumed that v_i , w_i and x(0) are mutually uncorrelated. We require the technical assumption that, for each i, $B_i \ne 0$ implies $C_i = 0$, i.e., the control- and measurement-dependent noises are uncorrelated.

Optimal Dynamic-Compensation Problem

Given the controlled system

$$\dot{x} = \left(A + \sum_{i=1}^{p} v_i A_i\right) x + \left(B + \sum_{i=1}^{p} v_i B_i\right) u + w_1, \qquad (2.1)$$

$$y = \left(C + \sum_{i=1}^{p} v_i C_i\right) x + w_2,$$
 (2.2)

design an nth-order dynamic compensator

$$\dot{x}_c = A_c x_c + B_c y, \qquad (2.3)$$

$$u = C_c x_c \tag{2.4}$$

(2.5)

which minimizes the performance criterion

$$J(A_c, B_c, C_c) = J_x(A_c, B_c, C_c) + J_{xu}(A_c, B_c, C_c) + J_u(A_c, B_c, C_c),$$

where

$$J_{x}(A_{c}, B_{c}, C_{c}) \triangleq \lim_{t \to \infty} \mathbb{E}[x^{T}R_{1}x],$$
$$J_{xu}(A_{c}, B_{c}, C_{c}) \triangleq \lim_{t \to \infty} \mathbb{E}[2x^{T}R_{12}u],$$
$$J_{u}(A_{c}, B_{c}, C_{c}) \triangleq \lim_{t \to \infty} \mathbb{E}[u^{T}R_{2}u].$$

To guarantee that J is finite and independent of initial conditions, we restrict (A_c, B_c, C_c) to the (open) set of second-moment-stabilizing triples

$$\$ \triangleq \{(A_c, B_c, C_c) : \tilde{A}_s \oplus \tilde{A}_s + \sum_{i=1}^p \tilde{A}_i \otimes \tilde{A}_i \text{ is stable}\}$$

where \oplus and \otimes denote Kronecker sum and product and

$$\tilde{A}_{s} \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} A_{s} & B_{s}C_{c} \\ B_{c}C_{s} & A_{c} \end{bmatrix}, \tilde{A}_{i} \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} A_{i} & B_{i}C_{c} \\ B_{c}C_{i} & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$A_{s} \triangleq A + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{p} A_{i}^{2}, B_{s} \triangleq B + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{p} A_{i}B_{i}, C_{s} \triangleq C + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{p} C_{i}A_{i}$$

For convenience in stating the optimality conditions, define the following notation for $Q, P, \hat{Q}, \hat{P} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$:

$$R_{2s} \stackrel{\triangle}{=} R_{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{p} B_{i}^{T}(P + \hat{P})B_{i}, \quad V_{2s} \stackrel{\triangle}{=} V_{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{p} C_{i}(Q + \hat{Q})C_{i}^{T},$$
$$Q_{s} \stackrel{\triangle}{=} QC_{s}^{T} + V_{12} + \sum_{i=1}^{p} A_{i}(Q + \hat{Q})C_{i}^{T}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{s} \stackrel{\triangle}{=} B_{s}^{T}P + R_{12}^{T} + \sum_{i=1}^{p} B_{i}^{T}(P + \hat{P})A_{i}$$
$$A_{Qs} \stackrel{\triangle}{=} A_{s} - Q_{s}V_{2s}^{-1}C_{s}, \quad A_{Ps} \stackrel{\triangle}{=} A_{s} - B_{s}R_{2s}^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{s}.$$

Theorem 2.1: Suppose $(A_c, B_c, C_c) \in S$ solves the optimal dynamiccompensation problem. Then there exist $n \times n$ nonnegative-definite matrices Q, P, \hat{Q} , and \hat{P} such that A_c, B_c, C_c are given by

$$A_{c} = A_{s} - B_{s} R_{2s}^{-1} \Theta_{s} - Q_{s} V_{2s}^{-1} C_{s}, \qquad (2.6)$$

$$B_c = \mathbb{Q}_s V_{2s}^{-1}, \qquad (2.7)$$

$$C_{c} = -R_{2c}^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{s}, \qquad (2.8)$$

and such that the following conditions are satisfied:

$$0 = A_{s}Q + QA_{s}^{T} + V_{1} + \sum_{i=1}^{p} [A_{i}QA_{i}^{T} + (A_{i} - B_{i}R_{2s}^{-1}\Theta_{s})\hat{Q}(A_{i} - B_{i}R_{2s}^{-1}\Theta_{s})^{T}] - Q_{s}V_{2s}^{-1}Q_{s}^{T}, \quad (2.9)$$

$$0 = A_s^T P + P A_s + R_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{p} [A_i^T P A_i]$$

+ $(A_i - Q_i^T V_i^{-1} C_i)^T \hat{P} (A_i - Q_i^T V_i^{-1} C_i) = Q_s^T P_s^{-1} Q_s \quad (2.10)$

$$[X_1 = \langle \zeta_5 \rangle_{2_5} \cup \langle \gamma \rangle_1 = \langle X_1 = \langle \zeta_5 \rangle_{2_5} \cup \langle \gamma \rangle_1 = \langle \zeta_5 \rangle_{2_5} \cup \langle \zeta_5 \rangle_5, \quad (2.10)$$

$$0 = A_{Ps}\hat{Q} + \hat{Q}A_{Ps}^{T} + Q_{s}V_{2s}^{-1}Q_{s}^{T}, \qquad (2.11)$$

$$0 = A_{Os}^{T} \hat{P} + \hat{P} A_{Qs} + \mathcal{O}_{s}^{T} R_{2s}^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{s}.$$
(2.12)

Remark 2.1: Letting $A_i = 0$, $B_i = 0$ and $C_i = 0$, $i = 1, \dots, p$, it can readily be seen that (2.11) and (2.12) are superfluous and that (2.9) and (2.10) yield the standard separated LQG Riccati equations.

Remark 2.2: Since $R_{2s} \ge R_2$, so that $R_{2s}^{-1} \le R_2^{-1}$, it is clear that the control-dependent noise effectively suppresses the regulator gain C_c . Similarly, since $V_{2s} \ge V_2$, the measurement-dependent noise suppresses the observer gain B_c . The effect of the terms $A_i Q A_i^T$ is discussed in [1] for modal systems.

III. THE MAXIMUM ENTROPY DESIGN EQUATIONS APPLIED TO DOYLE'S EXAMPLE

As shown in [2], LQG regulators for the example

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ b \end{bmatrix}, C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$V_{1} = \sigma \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, V_{12} = 0, V_{2} = 1,$$
$$R_{1} = \rho \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, R_{12} = 0, R_{2} = 1,$$

have arbitrarily small stability margin with regard to variations $b + \Delta b$ when σ and ρ are sufficiently large and b = 1.

Setting $\sigma = \rho = 60$, it follows that the LQG regulator is only stable for $0.93 \le b + \Delta b \le 1.01$. Uncertainty in *b* can be modeled by setting p = 1, $A_1 = 0$, $B_1 = [0 \ b_1]^T$, and $C_1 = 0$. Solving the optimality conditions (2.9)-(2.12) with $b_1 = 0.05$, 0.10, 0.15, and 0.20 yields a series of increasingly robust controller designs with respect to both positive and negative variations Δb (see Table I and Figs. 1 and 2).

CONCLUSION

As demonstrated on the example of [2], the maximum entropy design equations provide a novel method for synthesizing robust feedback controllers. Since the design equations represent a fundamental generalization of standard LQG theory, the approach represents an alternative to LQG-modification techniques. Indeed, these equations are not intended as a device for recovering the gain and phase margins of LQ state-feedback regulators, but rather as a method for designing output-feedback dynamic compensators which are robust with respect to parametric deviations in

TABLE IDYNAMIC COMPENSATOR GAINS FOR LQG AND MAXIMUM ENTROPYDESIGNS ($b = 1, \sigma = \rho = 60$)

^b 1	A _c	B _c	°c	Stability Range of b + ∆b
0 (1.QC)	-9 1 -20 -9	[10 _10	[-10 -10]	(.93, 1.01)
.05	-9.253 1.0 -20.69 -7.382	10.25 12.31	[-8.382 -8.382]	(.88, 1.03)
.10	-9.639 1.0 -23.27 -6.318	10.64 15.95	[-7.318 -7.318]	(.82, 1.08)
.15	-10.10 1.0 -27.24 -5.710	11.10 20.53	[-6.710 -6.710]	(.77, 1.13)
.20	-10.69 1.0 -32.97 -5.295	11.69 26.67	[-6.295 -6.295]	(.72, 1.21)



Fig. 1. Robustness of LQG versus maximum entropy designs ($b_1 = 0.05, 0.1, 0.15, 0.2$).

the plant model. As discussed in [10], these are significantly different objectives.

REFERENCES

- D. S. Bernstein and D. C. Hyland, "The optimal projection equations for reducedorder modelling, estimation and control of linear systems with Stratonovich multiplicative white noise," SIAM J. Contr. Optimiz., submitted for publication.
- [2] J. C. Doyle. "Guaranteed margins for LQG regulators," IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr., vol. AC-23, pp. 756–757, 1978.
- [3] D. C. Hyland and A. N. Madiwale, "A stochastic design approach for full-order



Fig. 2. Stability bounds for LQG and maximum entropy designs.

compensation of structural systems with uncertain parameters," in Proc. AIAA Guid. Contr. Conf., Albuquerque, NM, Aug. 1981, pp. 324-332.

- [4] D. C. Hyland, "Optimality conditions for fixed-order dynamic compensation of flexible spacecraft with uncertain parameters," AIAA 20th Aerospace Sci. Meet., Orlando, FL, Jan. 1982, paper 82-0312.
- [5] D. C. Hyland, "Mean-square optimal, full-order compensation of structural systems with uncertain parameters," Lincoln Lab., Mass. Inst. Technol., Tech. Rep. TR-626, June 1983.
- [6] G. N. Mil'stein, "Design of stabilizing controller with incomplete state data for linear stochastic system with multiplicative noise," *Automat. Remote Contr.*, vol. 43, pp. 653-659, 1982.
- [7] Y. A. Phillis, "Controller design of systems with multiplicative noise," *IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr.*, vol. AC-30, pp. 1017-1019, 1985.
 [8] D. C. Hyland and D. S. Bernstein, "The optimal projection equations for fixed-
- [8] D. C. Hyland and D. S. Bernstein, "The optimal projection equations for fixedorder dynamic compensation," *IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr.*, vol. AC-29, pp. 1034-1037, 1984.
- [9] L. D. Davis, D. C. Hyland, and D. S. Bernstein, "Application of the maximum entropy design approach to the spacecraft control laboratory experiment (SCOLE)," NASA Langley, Final Report, Jan, 1985.
- (SCOLE), "NASA Langley, Final Report, Jan. 1985.
 [10] D. S. Bernstein, "Robust static and dynamic output-feedback stabilization: Deterministic and stochastic perspectives," submitted for publication.

Stability of Multiloop LQ Regulators with Nonlinearities—Part I: Regions of Attraction

S. M. JOSHI

Abstract—The closed-loop stability of linear, time-invariant systems controlled by linear quadratic (LQ) regulators is investigated when there are nonlinearities in the control channels which lie outside the $(0.5, \infty)$ stability sector in regions away from the origin (i.e., saturation-type nonlinearities). An estimate of the region of attraction is obtained which provides methods for selecting the performance function weights for more robust LQ designs.

Manuscript received December 27, 1984; revised April 22, 1985 and August 26, 1985. The author is with NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA 23665. IEEE Log Number 8407269.

0018-9286/86/0400-0364\$01.00 © 1986 IEEE